

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ONTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,320	06/10/2005	Young-Soo Han	1266-5 (HAN0525)	1536
	7590 09/17/200 z BARRESE, LLP	7	EXAMINER	
333 EARLE O	VINGTON BLVD.		CHEN, CATHERYNE	
SUITE 702 UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		·	1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/538,320	HAN, YOUNG-SOO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Catheryne Chen	1655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	,				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	o. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application			

 Claims 1-39 are currently pending. Please take notice of the election to species requirement in paragraph 2. To be fully responsive, applicant must fulfill this requirement.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Claims 1, 21, 28, 32, 38, 39: Torilis japonica, skullcap, Rosa laevigata, Cnidium officinale, Ligusticum acutilobum, licorice root, Ulmus darvidiana for Suberosa, araliaceous shrubs, olibanum, cinnamon, Artemisia capillaries Thb., mugwort.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/538,320

Art Unit: 1655

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 2-11 depends on Claim 1.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 17, 21, 28, 32, 38, 39.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Claims 1, 21, 28, 32, 38, 39 require an election of species for the different species of plant material. It lacks special technical feature because the plants are from different genus. Applicant must elect a plant material for Claims 1, 21, 28, 32, 38, 39.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1655

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catheryne Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-9947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catheryne Chen Patent Examiner Art Unit 1655

/Susan Hoffman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655 September 5, 2007